FILER

		Third Judicial D
My Name	Jesse Majors	JUN 0 3 2011 SALT LAKE FOLLITY
Address	6649 South 5500 West	SALT LAKE SON
City, State, Zip	West Jordan, Utah 84081	
Phone	801-360-6880	Deputy
E-mail		
am the X Pla		Utah Bar number is
	In the Justice	e Court of Utah
	Third Judicial District	Salt LakeCounty
Court Address _	450 South State Street, Salt Lal	ke City, Utah 84114
JESSE ANNE N	AAJORS) Plaintiff,)	AMENDED COMPLAINT
vs.	į į	Civil No. 110911547
	ERSON SCHOOL OF	
RUDY HASL a	nia Corporation, and) nd JEFFREY JOSEPH and)	Judge Maughan
MITNICK and J CLAIRE WRIG and JULIE CRC	BERGER and ERIC ULIE GARRETT and HT and JOY DELMAN MER-YOUNG and DELMAN D	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
LARRINGTON and LISA FERR BAYNE and JA CHIGOS and C.	ENBERG and JANE and PATRICK MEYER EIRA and ANGELA N DAUSS AND LISA ATHERINE DEAN and	
ALL MEMBER	S OF THE ETHICS)	
CALCE COUNTY /	CHOOL OF LAW 2006-)	
COMMITTEE (JEFFERSON SO 2011)	
JEFFERSON SO) Defendants.	

INTRODUCTION

1. This matter involves Plaintiff's law school career at Thomas Jefferson School of
Law (TJSL) during 2006 through 2011.

4.

2. Since the beginning of attendance at TJSL, Plaintiff has been the victim of various crimes including, but not limited to, harassment, intimidation, religious, gender and age discrimination, defamation, slander, libel, intentional infliction of mental anguish and emotional distress, creation of hostile educational environment, violation of her due process and constitutional rights, violations of professional conduct, and unethical behavior.

NATURE OF ACTION AND FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 3. In the first year of law school, Jesse was subjected to an assault by a male student in the law school parking lot. An ethics investigation was started. Jesse was falsely accused of vandalizing the male's car in retaliation for the assault.
 - During the interrogation, Jesse was threatened and sexually harassed by Arnold Rosenberg, the interrogator. He threatened her that she could be kicked out of school, be fined, and go to jail for vandalism. While she continued to maintain her innocence and asked what the school was doing about the assault, the interrogator asked to see Jesse's hands and car keys. Jesse was extremely upset. In response to Jesse getting emotional about the accusation, Mr. Rosenberg said, "This is the kind of thing you will face in your law career. Women like you will have to defend themselves and face aggressive male attorneys. If you act weak and get emotional like you are now, you will never make it as an attorney." As Jesse showed her hands and car keys to Mr. Rosenberg, she asked why he wanted

to see them. He responded, as he was holding her hands and examining her fingernails, "Because we can have your hands and car keys forensic-tested." Jesse began to cry. Mr. Rosenberg continued his harassment and intimidation by saying, "Hey! There's no crying in law school!" After that response, Jesse grabbed her hands and car keys back and said to go ahead and forensic-test her keys because they would not find anything. She also asked again what was going to happen regarding the assault and Mr. Rosenberg responded, "I don't believe that anything you said even happened." Feeling trapped, intimidated and emotionally held hostage, Jesse agreed that both she and the male student would attend anger management classes to resolve the issue.

- 5. Nothing was done about the assault and the day after the interrogation, Jesse's car door had been damaged in the school parking lot. Jesse complained to the same committee that she was afraid the male student was responsible for it, but they refused to investigate claiming that Jesse "had no proof". Jesse had to pay \$30.00 per one-hour session, drive over 1 ½ hours to each session, and submit a completion certificate that she completed the 12 sessions in order to have no negative documentation be placed in her file.
- 6. While Jesse was at home in Utah attending two funerals and dealing with the overdose of her youngest brother, Thomas Jefferson School of Law and Jesse agreed that she could do a directed study project to continue her education. Jesse was given permission to work with Jane Larrington, the school's research librarian, as her supervisor, on the project. Jesse completed the project without

adequate direction, supervision, education, editing assistance, or guidance from the Defendant.

- 7. In fact, the Defendant did not advise Jesse that there were typographical errors or possible citation errors in her paper. The Defendant, without notifying Jesse or granting her an opportunity to fix the errors or respond, accused Jesse of plagiarism and an investigation was started. The Defendant had a copy of Jesse's paper since December 23, 2009 and no communication between Jane Larrington, Claire Wright and Jesse has occurred until Jesse went back to school in January of 2010 and the investigation itself was not progressing until February, 2010.
- 8. During the investigation, her due process rights were violated. She was told, via email, by Claire Wright, head of the Ethics Committee, that an investigation had been started and that if Jesse did not hear a response from the Committee "within a week", "please contact (Claire) and let her know". Jesse did not hear from the Ethics Committee as she was told and contacted Claire Wright via email. In fact, Jesse has to wait almost three months to get a response. Claire Wright sent Jesse a notice that a decision had been made on February 22, 2010 and Jesse had until February 26, 2010 to respond. This timeframe was unduly prejudicial and unreasonable as midterms were occurring at the time. It is the Plaintiff's belief that this was an intentional act to threaten and intimidate her into making a decision not in her best interest.
- Jesse requested answers to some questions regarding the proposal the Ethics
 Committee had proposed. She had spoken to three Deans (Rudy Hasl, Beth

10.

Kransberger, Eric Mitnick), several Faculty Advisors (Lisa Ferreira, Julie Garrett, Angela Bayne) and student advocacy groups (Chris Paulos of the Student Bar Association) to get permission to continue her education, extra-curricular activities and other academic endeavors while the Ethics Committee made its decision. Jesse was very patient regarding the investigational process. She even requested appointments with Faculty Secretaries, including Jan Dauss (secretary to Dean Hasl) and Skylar Rayhill in which she was ignored multiple times. Dean Hasl and Beth Kransberger actually ran from her as she asked for their schedules to set up an appropriate time to discuss the situation!

However, during this process, her future goals both personally and academically were halted. She was denied permission to organize a student chapter advocating for animal rights. She was denied scholarships in which she was more than capable and worthy of being awarded. She was denied permission to go to China to study abroad. These actions are egregious, especially in light of the fact that she was given permission by Dean Beth Kransberger, and Lisa Ferreira to pursue these activities while the review process was going on so as not to miss deadlines. After she made substantial progress toward completing these tasks, because she reasonably relied on the word of her Dean (who promised to email the appropriate personnel and failed to do so) and the word of her Academic Advisor, she was sent an extremely rude email revoking this permission and implying to said personnel that Jesse had overstepped and blatantly disregarded her superiors.

Jesse was coerced in turning over her task of implementing a student chapter and

tried her best to do so because she wanted to focus on her education and not on 100 this unnecessary battle. (The Student Chapter fell through without her direction). 101 However, revoking permission to go to China was unacceptable and a battle Jesse 11. 102 felt she must fight. It was imperative that she go to China because it was the 103 quickest, most economical and most career-oriented way to graduate, begin her 104 career and get back home to Utah where her family, friends, husband and 1 1/2-105 year old daughter awaited her. Mandarin Chinese was her undergraduate degree 106 and going to China was a once in a lifetime opportunity to make international 107 contacts to begin her career as an international lawyer. By denying Jesse this 108 permission, she was deprived of her constitutional rights to life, liberty, pursuit of 109 happiness and her right to pursue an education. At the time of the investigation, 110 Jesse was also disallowed to register for the next semester, further hindering her 111 right to an education. 112 Professors Julie Cromer-Young, Joy Delman, Claire Wright and other members of - 12. 113 the Ethics Committee, (unknown at this time) have defamed her character by 114 inquiring into past semesters, questioning her professors, and viewing past papers 115 she had received highly satisfactory grades on, and other faculty implying that she 116 is guilty of plagiarism under the guise of conducting an investigation, when she is 117 guilty of no such thing. 118 During an "informal" hearing, Jesse's mothering skills and age were unethically 13. 119 questioned. Joy Delman and Julie Cromer-Young asked Jesse how old she was

and then followed that unethical question with, "how a woman her age handled

120

stress". They questioned how she could raise a 1 ½-year-old daughter and still be 122 a good mother and how Jesse's husband felt about her being away all the time. 123 124 Jesse refused to answer, stating that it was not related to the investigation and none of their business. Jesse asked to read a prepared statement and was 125 discouraged several times from reading it by Joy Delman who stated that the 126 investigation was "informal and (Jesse's) statement didn't need to be heard." 127 The furtherance of her education and career were unreasonably and intentionally 128 14. delayed. Jesse was lied to by faculty she trusted and whom defamed her to other 129 faculty, professors, potential employers and her peers. After being coerced, under 130 duress and intimidation, and without representation to assist her in her decision, 131 Jesse accepted a proposal to resolve the alleged ethical violation. 132 For almost two years, Jesse tried to fulfill her obligations (and did so, 15. 133 successfully) under the proposal, including finding a professor to supervise her on 134 another directed study project, attending 5 hours of legal citation training and 135 completing 5 hours of MCLE Ethics training. Jesse wrote multiple emails and 136 when they were left unanswered, she followed them up with multiple voice 137 messages and even requests for a face-to-face appointment as she was required 138 under the proposal to get preapproval to meet her obligations. She NEVER 139 received a response, from ANYONE she contacted about it. Therefore, Jesse did 140 the ethical, professional thing she do and wrote a directed study paper, without 141 any assistance, attended 5.5 hours of Ethics training in Utah via podcast, writing a 142

summary of watch she watched and got them approved through the State Bar of 143 California. 144 Jesse has attended sufficient legal study to be granted a graduation certificate 145 16. reflecting at least a cumulative 2.5GPA and wrote a directed study paper worth of 146 a passing grade. She is being unethically, unprofessionally, and illegally denied 147 these. 148 Upon Jesse's demand that this be done, she has been threatened by Dean Hasl and 17. 149 treated with the utmost disrespect by Jeff Joseph and other personnel. The school 150 has also refused to speak to her family on the phone or email. The school has also 151 disrespected her father, causing Jesse more mental anguish and emotional distress, 152 intentionally inflicted by TJSL. 153 The last threat from TJSL was April 15, 2011 in an email in which they threatened 18. 154 to expel her from school, refuse to give Jesse her transcripts and not allow her to 155 graduate or register for more classes. No action on their part has been taken, 156 further preventing the progress of her career, causing Jesse to miss the deadline 157 for taking the Bar exam, thereby increasing the financial and emotional burden on 158 her family. 159 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 160 19. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction. 161 Defendants, directly and indirectly, singly and in concert, have made use of the 20. 162 means and instrumentalities of mail and interstate commerce in connection with 163

164		the transactions, acts and courses of educational business alleged herein, certain of	
165		which have occurred within the District of Utah.	
166		21. Venue for this action is proper in the District of Utah because certain transactions,	
167		acts, practices and courses of business alleged in this Complaint took place in this	
168		district and because Jesse resides in this district and because certain of the	
169		defendants transact business in this district.	
170		PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
171		WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:	
172 173	A.	For judgment against defendant in the amount of \$200,000,000 (two hundred million dollars); \$100,000,000 in actual damages and \$100,000,000 in punitive damages.	
174	B.	Grade of 4.0 for a paper she has written;	
175	C.	Grant of, at least, a 2.20 cumulative GPA;	
176	D.	Grant of Certificate of Law and Social Justice;	
177 178	E.	Grant of and distribution of honor cords per participation in several student organizations and foundation of Student Chapter of Animal Legal Defense Fund student organization;	
179	F.	Grant of graduation and distribution of accompanying graduation certificate;	
180	Ģ.	Injunction against any negative comments or documents being maintained in her file.	
	Date _	Sign here ▶	
101		Typed or printed name Jesse Anne Majors	
181			